

The Hon. Thomas S. Zilly

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

SUNG HONG,  
aka Laurence Hong,  
aka Lawrence Hong,

and,

HYUN JOO HONG,  
aka Grace Hong,

Defendants

NO. CR17-163-TSZ

~~PROPOSED~~

**AMENDED  
FINAL ORDER OF FORFEITURE**

THIS MATTER comes before the Court on the United States' Motion for an  
Amended Final Order of Forfeiture for the following property:

1. \$160,816.44 in U.S. funds held in Interactive Brokers LLC account number  
XXXX6283, held in the name of Hyun Joo Hong;
2. \$927.42 in U.S. funds held in Interactive Brokers LLC account number  
XXXX9407, held in the name of Pishon Holding, LLC;

3. \$5,069.17 in U.S. funds held in J.P. Morgan Chase Bank, N.A. account number XXX8615, held in the name of Pishon Holding, LLC;
4. \$681.76 in U.S. funds held in J.P. Morgan Chase Bank, N.A. account number XXXXX9962, held in the name of Hyun Joo Hong;
5. One ring with attached apparent colorless, transparent, round, brilliant-cut diamond weighing approximately 3.02 carats, and apparent diamonds inset on band, seized on or about June 2, 2017, from the premises of 8918 NE 24th Street, Clyde Hill, Washington, 98004;
6. One Rolex Oyster Perpetual Yacht-Master watch, seized on or about June 2, 2017, from the premises of 8918 NE 24th Street, Clyde Hill, Washington, 98004;
7. One Patek Philippe watch with apparent inset diamond, seized on or about June 2, 2017, from the premises of 8918 NE 24th Street, Clyde Hill, Washington, 98004;
8. One gold U.S. Liberty Coin, weighing approximately one ounce, seized on or about June 2, 2017, from the premises of 8918 NE 24th Street, Clyde Hill, Washington, 98004; and
9. Assorted jewelry seized on or about June 2, 2017, from the premises of 8918 NE 24th Street, Clyde Hill, Washington, 98004, including items appearing to be: two gold metallic keys; four gold metallic bracelets; one gemstone pearl bracelet; one silver metallic ring; one Tiffany key pendant necklace; two gold metallic chain necklaces; and one gold metallic medallion necklace.

//

//

1 The Court, having reviewed the United States' motion, as well as the other  
2 pleadings and papers filed in this matter, HEREBY FINDS that entry of an Amended  
3 Final Order of Forfeiture to correct an inaccurate description of property in the Final  
4 Order of Forfeiture is justified under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 60(b)(1) and (b)(6)  
5 for the following reasons:

- 6 1. On February 17, 2022, the Court entered a Final Order of Forfeiture, which  
7 included a description of one item of property as: "\$152,457 in funds held  
8 in Interactive Brokers LLC account number XXXX6283, held in the name  
9 of Hyun Joo Hong." *See* Dkt. 183.
- 10 2. After entry of the Final Order of Forfeiture, the United States informed the  
11 Court that the Final Order of Forfeiture is inaccurate because it describes  
12 only some (\$152,457.35) of the total funds held in Interactive Brokers LLC  
13 account XXXX6283 (\$160,814.44) and it seeks an Amended Final Order of  
14 Forfeiture correcting this mistake and reflecting the full amount of these  
15 funds.
- 16 3. The United States Marshals Service received \$160,814.44 in funds from the  
17 Interactive Brokers LLC account XXXX6283, in two wire transfers  
18 (\$152,457.35 and \$8,359.09), approximately one month apart.
- 19 4. The United States Marshals Service is unable to transfer the funds from the  
20 second wire (\$8,359.09) to the Asset Forfeitures Fund because those funds  
21 are not included in the description of this property in the Final Order of  
22 Forfeiture.
- 23 5. The United States informed the Court that it did not become aware of the  
24 second wire transfer until after entry of the Final Order of Forfeiture and  
25 that the mistake resulting in the inaccurate description of this property in  
26 the Final Order of Forfeiture was inadvertent. The Court finds these  
27 representations to be credible.

6. Amending the Final Order of Forfeiture under these circumstances is justified under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 60(b)(1), which provides that a Court may amend a final order due to mistake, inadvertence, surprise, excusable neglect, and under Rule 60(b)(6), which permits the Court to amend a final order for any other reason that justifies relief.

**NOW, THEREFORE, THE COURT ORDERS:**

1. No right, title, or interest in the above-listed property exists in any party other than the United States;

2. The property is fully and finally condemned and forfeited, in its entirety, to the United States;

3. The United States Department of Justice, and/or its representatives, are authorized to dispose of the property in accordance with the law; and

4. The Court will retain jurisdiction for the purpose of enforcing or amending this Amended Final Order of Forfeiture as necessary pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 32.2(e).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 12<sup>th</sup> day of November, 2022.

Thomas S Zilly  
THE HON. THOMAS S. ZILLY  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

11

11

//

1 Presented by:

2  
3 s/Krista K. Bush

4 KRISTA K. BUSH

5 Assistant United States Attorney

6 United States Attorney's Office

7 700 Stewart Street, Suite 5220

8 Seattle, WA 98101

9 (206) 553-2242

10 Krista.Bush@usdoj.gov